## Case 5:05-cv-03778-JF Document 14 Filed 11/02/05 Page 1 of 5

1 2	JAMES M. CHADWICK (Bar No. 157114) DIANA NG FUNG (Bar No. 185175) DLA PIPER RUDNICK GRAY CARY US	LLP		
3	2000 University Avenue East Palo Alto, CA 94303-2248 Tel: 650.833.2000			
4	Fax: 650.833.2001 james.chadwick@dlapiper.com			
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff	**E-filed 11/1/05**		
6	HORTA, LLC			
7	KEVIN V. RYAN (Bar No. 118321) United States Attorney			
8	JOANN M. SWANSÓN (Bar No. 88143) Chief, Civil Division			
9	CLAIRE T. CORMIER (Bar No. 154364) Assistant United States Attorney			
10	150 Almaden Blvd., Suite 900 San Jose, CA 95113			
11	Tel: 408.535.5082 Fax: 408.535.5081			
12 13	claire.cormier@usdoj.gov			
14	Attorneys for the UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION			
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
16	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
17	SAN JOSE DIVISION			
18	HORTA, LLC,	CASE NO. C 02-04086 JF (RS)		
19 20	Plaintiff,	[Related Case Nos. C 00-20018 JF and C 05-03778 JF]		
21	v.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]-ORDER		
22	CITY OF SAN JOSE,	CONSOLIDATING HEARING DATES ON HORTA, LLC'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY		
23	Defendant.	JUDGMENT AND FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION'S MOTIONS TO QUASH		
24		[Civil Local Rule 6-2]		
25		Judge: Hon. Jeremy Fogel		
26		Complaint: August 23, 2002		
27				
28				

DLA PIPER RUDNICK GRAY CARY US LLP EM\7195421.2 353235-1 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE HEARING DATE FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND MOTIONS TO QUASH—CASE NO. C 02-04086 JF

1

## **STIPULATION**

4	4	,	

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

2526

27

28

WHEREAS, on February 28, 2	2005, the Cou	art entered judgn	nent in this action
----------------------------	---------------	-------------------	---------------------

WHEREAS, on March 14, 2005, plaintiff Horta, LLC ("Horta") filed its Motion to Reconsider, Reopen, and Amend Judgment (the "Motion to Amend") and set it for hearing on May 6, 2005;

WHEREAS, on May 6, 2005, the Court continued the hearing on the Motion to Amend to permit Horta to conduct additional discovery relating to issues presented in the Motion to Amend;

WHEREAS, Horta has served discovery on Federal Aviation Administration ("FAA") consisting of document requests and requests for deposition testimony relating to issues presented in the Motion to Amend in the form of subpoenas issued pursuant to Rule 45 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, as well as written requests pursuant to 49 C.F.R. §§ 9.1 et seq.;

WHEREAS, the FAA has produced some documents but disputes its obligation to provide additional documents and to make subpoenaed witnesses available for deposition;

WHEREAS, Horta has filed a separate action to enforce its right to the requested discovery, entitled *Horta*, *LLC v. Federal Aviation Administration*, United States District Court, Northern District of California, Case No. C 05-03778 JF (RS) (the "Horta/FAA Action"), which case has been related to this action;

WHEREAS, the FAA has filed two motions to quash Horta's subpoenas issued pursuant to Rule 45 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for documents and deposition testimony, which are currently set for hearing in this action before the Honorable Richard Seeborg on *November 23, 2005* (collectively, the "Motions to Quash");

WHEREAS, Horta has filed a Motion for Summary Judgment and Permanent Injunction in the Horta/FAA Action seeking to compel the production of documents and deposition testimony relating to issues presented in the Motion to Amend, which is set for hearing before the Honorable Jeremy Fogel on *December 16, 2005* (the "Motion for Summary Judgment");

WHEREAS, the issues presented, and underlying facts, in the FAA's Motions to Quash and Horta's Motion for Summary Judgment are identical;

WHEREAS, if the Motions to Quash and the Motion for Summary Judgment were adjudicated by two different judges, there is a possibility of inconsistent rulings;

WHEREAS, Horta and the FAA desire to conserve judicial resources and avoid the possibility of inconsistent rulings by having the Motions to Quash and the Motion for Summary Judgment concurrently heard and adjudicated by the Honorable Jeremy Fogel;

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between Horta and the FAA, and subject to the approval of the Court, as follows:

- 1. The FAA's Motions to Quash and Horta's Motion for Summary Judgment shall be consolidated before the Honorable Jeremy Fogel;
- 2. The Motions to Quash and the Motion for Summary Judgment shall be heard together on December 16, 2005 at 9:00 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard, in the courtroom of the Honorable Jeremy Fogel, located at 280 South First Street, San Jose, California;
- 3. The briefing schedule for the Motions to Quash and the Motion for Summary Judgment shall run concurrently and be determined according to the December 16, 2005 hearing date. Specifically, any oppositions to the respective motions shall be filed no later than November 23, 2005, and any briefs in reply shall be filed no later than December 2, 2005;
- 4. The deadline for the defendants in the Horta/FAA Action to answer, move, or otherwise respond to the First Amended Complaint filed in that action shall be extended to a date ten (10) court days after the Court's ruling on the Motions to Quash and the Motion for Summary Judgment.

Dated: October <u>3/,</u> 2005

EM\7195421.2

353235-1

DLA PIPER RUDNICK GRAY CARY US LLP

JAMES ME CHADWICK

DIANA NG FUNG-

Attorneys for Plaintiff HORTA, LLC

1	Dated: October 31, 2005	KEVIN V. RYAN United States Attorney
2		
3		CLAIRE T. CORMIER
4		Assistant United States Attorney Attorneys for the UNITED STATES
5		DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION
6		
7		ORDER
8	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, I	T IS SO ORDERED. The hearings on FAA's
9	Motions to Quash and Horta's Motion for S	summary Judgment shall be concurrently set for
11	December 16, 2005 at 9:00 a.m. in the cour	troom of the Honorable Jeremy Fogel, located at 280
12	South First Street, San Jose, California. An	y opposition to these respective motions shall be filed
13	no later than November 23, 2005, and any b	oriefs in reply shall be filed no later than December 2,
14	2005.	
15	•	e Horta/FAA Action to respond to the First Amended
16	Complaint is extended to a date ten (10) co	urt days after the Court's ruling on the FAA's Motions
17	to Quash and Horta's Motion for Summary	Judgment.
18	Dated: O <del>ctober</del> 11/1, 2005	
19		
20	/s/	electronic signature authorized
21		JEREMY FOGEL
22		UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		-3-
NICK	EM\7195421.2 STIPULATION AND [F	ROPOSED] ORDER RE HEARING DATE FOR MOTION FOR

DLA PIPER RUD GRAY CARY US LLP

SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND MOTIONS TO QUASH—CASE NO. C 02-04086 JF

## 

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
1	Dated: October, 2005 KEVIN V. RYAN United States Attorney			
2				
3	ByCLAIRE T. CORMIER			
4	Assistant United States Attorney Attorneys for the UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION			
5	FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION			
7	OPPER			
8	<u>ORDER</u>			
9	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. The hearings on FAA's			
	Motions to Quash and Horta's Motion for Summary Judgment shall be concurrently set for			
10	December 16, 2005 at 9:00 a.m. in the courtroom of the Honorable Jeremy Fogel, located at 280			
11	South First Street, San Jose, California. Any opposition to these respective motions shall be filed			
12	no later than November 23, 2005, and any briefs in reply shall be filed no later than December 2,			
13	2005.			
14	The last day for the defendants in the Horta/FAA Action to respond to the First Amended			
15	Complaint is extended to a date ten (10) court days after the Court's ruling on the FAA's Motions			
16	to Quash and Horta's Motion for Summary Judgment.			
17	- 40.4			
18	Dated: October, 2005			
19				
20				
21	JEREMY FOGEL UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE			
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28	-3-			
orr	# EM/7105421.2 STIDLILATION AND IPROPOSEDLORDER RE HEARING DATE FOR MOTION FOR			

DLA PIPER RUDNICK EM\719542 GRAY CARY US LLP 353235-1